



eYantra Ventures Limited

(formerly know as Punit Commercials Limited)

EVL/BSE/2023-24/49

December 14, 2023

To
The Corporate Relations Department
BSE LIMITED
Phiroz Jeejeebhoy Towers,
25th Floor, Dalal Street,
Mumbai -400 001, Maharashtra

Ref: Company Security Code: No. 512099

Dear Sir / Madam,

Sub: Intimation under Regulation 30 of SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015

Pursuant to Regulation 30 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, this is to inform you that eYantra Ventures Limited (“Company”), has received the purchase order from Nvidia Corporation, USA.

In this regard, we hereby enclosing the necessary details as required under the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, read with SEBI Circular No. CIR/CFD/CMD/4/2015 dated September 9, 2015. Please find the details attached as Annexure – A.

Please take the information on record.

Thanking you

Yours faithfully,

For eYantra Ventures Limited

Priyanka Gattani
Company Secretary and Compliance Officer

Encl: as above



Annexure – A

Disclosure of Information pursuant to Regulation 30 of the SEBI Listing Regulations read with SEBI circular No. CIR/CFO/CMD/4/2015 dated September 9, 2015:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Description
1	name of the entity awarding the order(s)/contract(s);	Nvidia Corporation, USA
2	significant terms and conditions of order(s)/contract(s) awarded in brief;	Staffing services
3	whether order(s) / contract(s) have been awarded by domestic/ international entity;	International
4	nature of order(s) / contract(s);	Staffing services
5	whether domestic or international;	International
6	Time period by which the order(s)/contract(s) is to be executed;	1 year
7	broad consideration or size of the order(s)/contract(s);	USD 900,000
8	whether the promoter/ promoter group / group companies have any interest in the entity that awarded the order(s)/contract(s)? If yes, nature of interest and details thereof;	No
9	whether the order(s)/contract(s) would fall within related party transactions? If yes, whether the same is done at “arms length”.	No